Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/568,671	YAMAHIRA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Irene Marx	1651
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Irene Marx</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms Mack</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 July 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Irene Marx/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/568,671

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The withdrawn claims should be cancelled because they raise of issues of enablement regarding the population targeted, i.e., in need of mucosal immunity stimulation or IgA promotion treatment and the effects of a single administration of the Lactobacillus cells. In claims 4 and 18 the issue of cellig was discussed and it was agreed that it should properly read" cells/g of the composition" to clarify the invention. An examiner's amendment was authorized.